



Cummins LeaperX Project

Route Optimization

Arpit Dhawan, Christopher Lehman, Jacob Bagadiong, Matthew Boyle, Zack Reynolds



Introduction

CityBus Lafayette has provided free transportation to Purdue students as a part of student tuition for years. In fall 2019, Purdue and Cummins introduced LeaperX, a free on-demand transportation service, mainly supporting the existing Black and Gold/Aviation Tech Loops. Ultimately, Cummins and Purdue want to determine how to better the existing transit system to fit student needs. With concerns such as student distribution on camp weather, and ensuring that students can move both safely and effectively, Purdue wants to take the next step in regards to transportation.

Research Questions

- Are there parts of campus with more need for transit than others?
- Are there parts of campus not seeing any transit need?

Methodology

- Given access to CityBus and Purdue Building Data
- Created “neighborhoods” for stops based on closest stop to each building per loop
- In much area does a stop cover, versus how many people does it serve?

Measures of Coverage

- Independent of usage, how can the usefulness of a stop be gauged based on other factors
- Based on distance rank, stop distance, population, and number of buildings, compared to stop usage

Coverage of Campus Buildings by CityBus Stops (Week Period)




Figure 1: Live gif of current coverage rates for CityBus Stops adjusted for population, runs 7:30-5:30 M-F

LeaperX Analysis

Research Questions

- Where are LeaperX users using LeaperX to be picked up/dropped off at?
- Which LeaperX Stops are rendering CityBus stops inefficient/unnecessary
- What general areas of campus are students using LeaperX at different parts of the day?

Assumptions

- Same student population assumptions as CityBus analysis
- Same Measure of Coverage can be used
- Stops are not necessarily inefficient at low usage, low coverage, as stops are on-demand, not scheduled

Findings

- Analyzed daytime coverage with the same metric but replaced locations with coordinates of LeaperX stops
- As seen in the moving pictures portraying the Purdue campus, LeaperX stops appear to have a higher coverage overall, but especially near the academic buildings.
- Coverage of most residence halls seem to be pretty similar.
- This leads to the result that LeaperX stops, with a more even spread across campus, will be of better benefit to students who live off-campus
- Sees most popular day stop near Aviation Tech building, at the southwest corner and was original goal of LeaperX
- Night hours see similar results in regard to popular stops, Hillenbrand, PMU, MacArthur are most popular stops
- Due to number of buildings in Hilltop Apartments, coverage outlier occurs at “Hilltop 1 - G”, with a coverage rate double the next highest
- Aside from outlier, coverage rates are more uniform than CityBus coverage rates
- As night progresses, stops that are on the extremes of campus see more usage as opposed to earlier in the evening

Coverage of Campus Buildings by LeaperX Stops (Week Period)




Figure 4: Live gif of current coverage rates for LeaperX Stops adjusted for population, runs 7:30-5:30 M-F

CityBus Analysis

Assumptions and Measures of Student Population

- Students attend all classes at scheduled times in scheduled places
- All beds in residence halls are filled
- If not in class, students are independently dispersed throughout campus, regardless of major, year, learning communities, etc.
- No availability of library and dining hall information
- After 6pm, student population in parts of campus cannot be accurately calculated due to lack of class, club, and facility information
- If not in class, 70% of students are expected to not be in residence halls, based on estimates based on assumptions above

Night Analysis

- Compared coverage data to stop usages
- Used as a “substitution” for population, as population is unknown, per assumptions
- High coverage & high usage means stop is efficient
- High coverage and low usage means that it acts an ‘umbrella stop’
 - Functions as a stops that serves a lot of area, but still does not see large counts
- Low coverage and high usage could break system
 - High concentration of people within small area; could lead to efficiency issue and mean that more stops are necessary nearby
- Low coverage and low usage means that stop is a potential outlier
 - People will use the stop, but does not serve a large population or area
 - Stops could be consolidated into “umbrella stop”
 - Do LeaperX users use service in these locations due to inefficiency with CityBus, and does that vary by time??
- MacArthur and First and Purdue Memorial Union Stops are most popular
 - Serves west extreme of campus where many residential halls as well as two dining courts are located
 - Union sees large student population due to location and services in the Union

$$\sum_{n=1}^3 \frac{1}{n} (.5 - \text{distance})$$

Figure 2: A map depicting all of the stops on the nightly Black Loop, with its respective score representing the size of the dot. Stop scores are based on distance and if it is one of the 3 closest stops to a building

CityBus Usage vs. Coverage at 11




Figure 3: Chart plotting the usage rates of Black Loop Stops vs their coverage rates at 11pm at night. Purdue Memorial Union and MacArthur and 1st (near Earhart Hall) see most usage, being centers of food and housing. Many stops fall into the low coverage, low usage stops, which could be deemed to be inefficient as a stop on a scheduled route.





Figure 5: Graph with LeaperX coverage scores on the x-axis, and usage during Fall 2019 on y axis. Usage during 11pm hour only. Notable stops include PMU, Wiley, Hillenbrand, Armstrong, and Rawls Halls, all near residence halls or edges of campus, where off-campus students live

Figure 6: LeaperX version of night coverage map from figure 2. Coverage are less different in this map than in figure 2, meaning that stops are theoretically more efficient than on the Black Loop.



Future Recommendations

- Based off findings, pilot program best served as complement to current transit system
 - Saw over 12,000 passenger pickup/drop-offs at Aviation Tech during daytime hours
- Filled in need for student movement to edges of campus during nighttime hours
 - Armstrong Hall, Rawls Hall, Hawkins Hall
- Best fits in as a way to reach outer, inaccessible locations by CityBus
- Allows better movement to academic buildings
- Increased transportation options for off-campus residents
 - Potential avenue to offer greater accessibility for students
- Future LeaperX Analysis
 - Cancellation Data determining how to improve LeaperX service
 - Natural Language Processing/Review Analysis to improve LeaperX service
 - Event/Weather Data to understand spikes in movement

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Cummins for this opportunity to work on this new innovation for Purdue, as well as Eric Van Gorp, Mrunal Chaudhary, Greesham Anand, Setu Namburu, and Ashish Menkudale for being valuable mentors and assisting us through the project. We would also like to thank CityBus and Cummins for access to their data. We also want to recognize Dr. Mark Ward, Maggie Betz, and Ellen Gundlach for leading the Data Mine and helping this project exist. Thank you to our TA, Kevin LaMaster, for helping us work through challenges, and to Matthew Kerkhoff, for following our journey through this project.